# **Crystallization mechanism in amorphous material** of 0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

SUMIN WANG, XUEJIE HUANG, ZHAOXIANG WANG, LIQUAN CHEN<sup>∗</sup> Laboratory for Solid State Ionics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China E-mail: lqchen@aphy02.iphy.ac.cn

0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass has been prepared by quenching a melt of LiNO<sub>3</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 1100◦C to room temperature in air. The crystallization mechanism of this kind of glass was investigated by differential thermal analysis (DTA) and powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). It is shown that the substances crystallized from powder and bulk samples are different because manganese with lower valence in powder sample can be further oxidized by the oxygen penetrated through the large surface of powder sample. For a powder sample the glass transition endothermic peak in the DTA curve is overlapped by an exothermic reaction attributed to the oxidization of Manganese. LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can not be crystallized directly from the glass matrix but can be formed on the surface of glass particles by effect of oxygen in air near the glass transition temperature. © 2000 Kluwer Academic Publishers

## **1. Introduction**

Among the most promising cathode materials for lithium ion batteries, lithium manganese oxide has attracted extensive research interests in recent years. However, the cycling performance of this material is still under improvement [1]. One effective way to improve the cyclic ability of a cathode material is to vary the metal-oxygen bond lengths in the structure by using a network former [2]. Successful work has been done in framework oxides such as  $V_2O_5-P_2O_5$  [2, 3]. In this system, framework metal oxides offer attractive characteristics, e.g., high free energy of retention, high theoretical capacities, and especially long cycle life and lower potentials without structural damage [4]. Chen and Schoonman [5] have prepared  $0.3LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ - $0.7B_2O_3$  glass and studied its electronic properties. They attributed the conduction of this material to the small polaron hopping. However, its crystallization process has not been studied.

In this paper, we studied the crystallization process in the  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass by differential thermal analysis and powder X-ray diffraction. To our knowledge, there has not been any report on the crystallization mechanism of lithium manganese borate oxide glass.

## **2. Experimental**

Commercial powder reagents  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$ , MnO<sub>2</sub>, and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were mixed at a molar ratio of Li : Mn :  $B = 1 : 1 : 2$  and the mixture was baked at 300◦C for 5 hours. The baked sample was reground and melted in an alumina crucible at 1100◦C. Lithiated manganese oxide borate glass was prepared by quenching the melt between two brass plates in air. Some pieces of glass were regrounded into powder with size less than 30  $\mu$ m.

Differential thermal analyzer, SETARAM TG-92, was used to study the crystallization process of the powder and as-quenched bulk samples. X-ray diffraction measurements were done on a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer with Cu  $K_{\alpha_1}$  radiation monochromated by a graphite single crystal at 40 KV/140 mA.

## **3. Results and discussion**

## 3.1. Differential thermal analyses of  $0.5$ LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass

The DTA curves (Fig. 1) were measured by heating the  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass samples at a rate of 20 $°C$ min−<sup>1</sup> in flowing air. The DTA trace for bulk sample (Fig. 1a) showed a shift change to the baseline at about 440◦C. This shift was attributed to the glass transition. However, no similar change was observed in the trace for powder sample (Fig. 1b). Successively, three exothermic peaks were observed in the DTA traces of powder and bulk samples, though they are quite different in intensity and position. The first and second peaks appeared at the same positions (510–560 $°C$ ) for the two traces; but the intensity of the second peak for the bulk sample was much higher than that for the powder sample. A remarkable difference was observed in the third peak. The third peak for the powder sample was very sharp in comparison with that for the bulk sample, and the peak temperature for the powder sample was  $60^{\circ}$ C lower than that for the bulk sample.

<sup>∗</sup> Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.



*Figure 1* Different thermal analysis curves for the powder and bulk samples of 0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass. Heating rate: 20°C/min; atmosphere: air.



*Figure 2* X-ray diffraction patterns for powder samples of 0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B2O3 glass. (a) Pristine sample; (b–d) heat treated samples after 1st, 2nd, 3rd crystallization peak respectively. The numbers of each crystalline substance are corresponding to the order of the crystallization peaks.

# 3.2. Crystalline substances from powder sample: MnBO<sub>3</sub>, Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,  $Li_2B_4O_7$  (or  $Li_4Al_4B_6O_{17}$ )

X-ray diffraction patterns of powder samples at different crystallization periods were shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2a showed a typical XRD pattern of  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ glass material. XRD pattern of the first crystalline substance formed at the first exothermic peak was shown in Fig. 2b. This substance was identified to be  $MnBO<sub>3</sub>$ according to the JCPDS data. The amount of this substance increased with increasing temperature as shown in Fig. 2c and d. Since the second exothermic peak in the powder DTA curve was very weak and close to the first peak, it was difficult to identify the corresponding substance secondly crystallized from the matrix. By using X-ray diffractometer with higher resolution the compound was determined as  $Mn_3O_4$  or  $\gamma$ - $Mn_2O_3$ (the two compounds have very similar X-ray diffraction profiles). When the temperature increased further, the third exothermic peak appeared and  $Li_4Al_4B_6O_{17}$ was formed as shown in Fig. 2d. The comparison of standard *d*-values of identified crystalline substances with the measured data of powder samples is showed in Table I. The element Al in the compound comes from the  $Al_2O_3$  crucible.  $Al_2O_3$  may be eroded by  $B_2O_3$  and dissolved into the melt when the glass was melted in an alumna crucible at 1100◦C. In order to confirm this assumption, samples with the same raw material were prepared at 1100◦C for 0.5 h. and 4 h. respectively. It

TABLE I Comparison of standard *d*-values of identified crystalline substances with the measurement data in powder samples

Measured $d$ -value ( $\AA$ )	Standard d-values $(A)$ of identified crystalline substances			
	MnBO <sub>3</sub> $(43-59)$	$Mn_3O_4(24-734)$ or $\nu$ -Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (18-803)	$Li4Al4B6O17$ $(15-344)$	
4.21	4.18			
3.53			3.53	
3.27				
3.21			3.21	
3.09		3.08	3.12	
2.92	2.95			
2.77		2.77		
2.67	2.69			
2.61	2.63			
2.49		2.49		



*Figure 3* X-ray diffraction patterns of 0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass prepared in  $Al_2O_3$  crucibles melting at 1100 $\degree$ C for 0.5 h. (a) and 4 h. (b), respectively.

can be seen from Fig. 3,  $Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>$  crystallized from the sample with shorter soak time and no  $Li_4Al_4B_6O_{17}$  was detected.

# 3.3. Crystalline substances from annealing bulk sample:  $MnBO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $Mn(BO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $Mn_3O_4/\gamma$ - $Mn_2O_3$ , Li<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>O<sub>17</sub> and unknown substance

Fig. 4 shows X-ray diffraction patterns of the bulk samples annealed at different temperatures.  $MnBO<sub>3</sub>$  was crystallized firstly. When the temperature was over the second exothermic peak temperature,  $Mn(BO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  was



*Figure 4* X-ray diffraction patterns for the bulk samples of 0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>- $0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass when heated to the three crystallized temperature: (a) 1st (b) 2nd (c) 3rd. The number of each crystalline substance is corresponding to the order of the crystallization peaks.

crystallized from the glass matrix accompanied with a little Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. As can be seen from the intensity of exothermic peak on DTA trace and XRD pattern,  $Mn(BO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  is the main crystallized substance from the bulk sample where Mn is at  $+2$  valence state. This phenomenon is quite different from that in the powder sample. The substances formed corresponding to the third mild peak can not be identified completely according to the JCPDS data. Although a little  $Li_4Al_4B_6O_{17}$  can be distinguished, two lines with significant intensity leave unkown. Further work needs to confirm if it is a new substance of lithium aluminum borate oxide. The comparison of standard *d*-values of identified crystalline substances with the measured data of powder samples is showed in Table II.

## 3.4. Formation of  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  on the surface of powder sample

The XPS results for glass are shown in Fig. 5. A satellite peak appeared at about 647 eV in the Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  spectrum indicates the existence of  $Mn^{+2}$  [6, 7], and the

TABLE II Comparison of standard *d*-values of identified crystalline substances with the measurement data in bulk samples

Measured $d$ -value ( $\AA$ )	Standard $d$ -values ( $\AA$ ) of identified crystalline substances					
	MnBO <sub>3</sub> $(43-59)$	$Mn_3O_4(24-734)$ or $\gamma$ -Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (18-803)	Mn(BO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> $(3-0815)$	$Li_4Al_4B_6O_{17}$ $(15-344)$	$\boldsymbol{?}$	
4.56			4.52			
4.25	4.24			4.27		
4.09					4.09	
3.51				3.53		
3.19				3.21		
3.09		3.08		3.12		
3.03			3.04			
2.92	2.95					
2.90						
2.88		2.88	2.88			
2.84					2.84	
2.70						
2.69	2.69		2.68			
2.64			2.64			
2.62	2.62					
2.58	2.59					
2.50		2.49	2.50			
2.42				2.45?	2.42	
2.31			2.31			
2.20			$2.18 + 2.22$			
2.09	2.08					
2.06		2.04	2.04			
2.00			2.01			



*Figure 5* XPS profile of  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass.



*Figure 6* X-ray diffraction patterns for  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>$ - $0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass of annealed bulk sample (a) and powder sample (b).



*Figure* 7 The TG trace for the powder sample of  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ glass.

binding energy for Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  is 641.3 eV, quite close to 641.1 eV in MnO but far away from 642.1 eV in  $Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  [9]. It also indicates that the main valence state of Mn in  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  glass is  $+2$ . While the majority products crystallized from powder sample is  $MnBO<sub>3</sub>$ , in which manganese is occupied  $+3$  valence state. This phenomenon was attributed to the penetration of oxygen through the surface of glass powders.

It has been mentioned that the glass transition temperature could not be observed in the DTA curve for powder sample. However, the transition temperature was obvious in the bulk sample. In order to understand the phenomenon, a powder sample and a bulk sample were heat-treated at about 400◦C for elongated time. The XRD patterns for the two baked samples were shown in Fig. 6. A well-defined crystallite was formed in powder sample but no crystallite was observed in the baked bulk sample. The substance was identified to be  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ [8]. The experimental show us that  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can not be directly crystallized from the glass matrix but can be formed on the surface of glass with oxygen penetration from air by annealing at glass transition temperature. Fig. 7 showed a TG curve for the powder sample. An obvious weight increase was observed from about 330◦C in the TG curve. The increase of weight is evident for this oxidation reaction in the powder glass. The endothermic shift of glass transition has been overlapped by the exothermic formation reaction of  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  in powder glass.

## **4. Conclusions**

The crystallization process for  $0.5LiMnO<sub>2</sub>-0.5B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ glass has been studied by differential thermal analyses in a temperature range of 100◦C to 700◦C. Three crystallization peaks corresponded to different crystallization processes in powder and bulk samples. MnBO<sub>3</sub>, Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Li<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>O<sub>17</sub>) were crystallized successively in the powder sample where  $MnBO<sub>3</sub>$  is the dominant manganese crystallization product; while MnBO<sub>3</sub>, Mn(BO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ $\gamma$ - $Mn_2O_3$ , Li<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>B<sub>6</sub>O<sub>17</sub> etc. were formed in the bulk sample where  $Mn(BO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  is the major crystalline compound. This difference should be attributed to the penetration of oxygen through the large surface of powder sample. In the meantime, an obvious weight increase observed in the Li-Mn-B-O glass system was due to the oxidation of manganese. After studying the crystalline process we suggest that manganese in Li-Mn-B-O glass is mainly in  $+2$  valence state which is confirmed by the results of XPS. Spinel lithium manganese oxide can not be crystallized directly from the glass matrix. It was found that Spinel  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  could be obtained on the surface of powder by annealing in air near the glass transition temperature.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was financially supported by 863 National Project (Grant No. 715-004-0280), Ford-China Foundation (Contract No. 9712304) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 59672027).

### **References**

- 1. M. M. THACKERY, A. DE KOCK, M. H. ROUSSOW, D. C. LILES , R. BITTIHN and D. HOGE, *J. Electrochem. Soc*. **139** (1992) 363.
- 2. K. WEST, B. ZACHAU-CHRISTIANSEN, M. J. L. OSTERGARD and T. JACOBSEN, *J. Power Sources* **20** (1987) 165.
- 3. J. BAKER, K. WEST, Y. SAIIDI, R. PYNENBURG, B. ZACHAU-CHRISTIANSEN and R. KOKSBANG, *ibid.* **<sup>54</sup>** (1995) 475.
- 4. M. UCHIYAMA, S. SLANE, E. PLICHTA and M. SALOMON, *J. Electrochem. Soc*. **136** (1989) 36.
- 5. LIQUAN CHEN and JOOP SCHOONMAN, *Solid State Ionics* **67** (1994) 17.
- 6. M. OKU, K. HIROKAWA and <sup>S</sup> . IKEDA, *J. Electron Spectroscopy Related Phenomena*, **7** (1975) 465.
- 7. M. LENGLET, A. D HUYSSER, J. KEASPEREK, J. P. BOULLE and J. DURR, *Mater. Res. Bull*. **20** (1985) 745.
- 8. SUMIN WANG XUEJIE HUANG, RONGJIAN XUE and LIQUAN CHEN, in The 11th International Conference on Solid State Ionics, Honolulu, Hawaii, November 16–21, 1997.

*Received 28 September 1998 and accepted 28 September 1999*